Acta Cryst. (1976). A 32, 144

Probability Distribution of the Difference in Intensities of Two Unrelated Structures

By W. Thöni*

Institut für Kristallographie und Petrographie, ETH Zürich, Switzerland

(Received 22 May 1975; accepted 20 August 1975)

This note deals with the probability distribution of the difference in intensities of two unrelated structures, having the same symmetry and containing p and q atoms respectively (p > q). Both centrosymmetric and non-centrosymmetric cases are discussed. The corresponding residual $R_I = \sum |I_1 - I_2| / \sum I_1$, where I_1 and I_2 are the intensities for two unrelated structures having the same symmetry and the same atoms, has the value $4/\pi \simeq 1.273$ for centrosymmetric structures and 1 for non-centrosymmetric structures.

1. Introduction

Wilson (1950) has considered the probable values of the residual

$$R = \sum |F_1 - F_2| / \sum F_1$$
 (1)

where F_1 represents the magnitude of the structure amplitudes from a correct structure and F_2 represents the corresponding values for an unrelated structure with the same symmetry and the same atoms. The largest likely values of R have been used thereafter in discussing the correctness of a structure proposal in case of single-crystal data.

An analogous residual

$$R_{I} = \sum |I_{1} - I_{2}| / \sum I_{1}$$
 (2)

with intensities I instead of structure amplitudes proved to be more convenient for structure analyses based on powder data both for X-rays (Thöni, 1973) and neutrons (Rietveld, 1968). This residual has briefly been mentioned by Wilson (1969) when discussing the effect of a badly misplaced atom on different types of residuals. It was therefore thought worthwhile to work out more generally the corresponding probability distribution of the intensity difference $|I_1 - I_2|$, both in the centrosymmetric and non-centrosymmetric case. The largest likely values of R_I can easily be deduced therefrom.

2. The probability distribution P(w)

Consider a first structure containing p atoms and a second unrelated structure with the same symmetry, containing q atoms, where p > q. We assume that the group q contains a sufficiently large number of atoms so that the intensities I_q (and therefore I_p also) will follow the ideal centric or acentric probability distribution P(I) given by (Howells, Phillips & Rogers, 1950)

$$_{1}P(I) = (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-1/2}I^{-1/2}\exp\left(-I/2\sigma^{2}\right)$$
 (3)

$$_{1}P(I) = (\sigma^{2})^{-1} \exp(-I/\sigma^{2}),$$
 (4)

* Present address: University of Oxford, Department of Metallurgy and Science of Materials, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PH, England. where σ^2 is the mean-square value of the distribution which equals the sum of the square of the atomic scattering factors. Alternatively one can take I_p to represent the observed intensities and I_q the calculated intensities for one and the same structure, in the case of a completely wrong structure proposal.

Denoting for convenience $I_p - I_q$ by *D*, the distribution for *D* can be worked out using a general theorem in probability theory,

$$P(D) = \int_{0 \text{ or } |D|}^{\infty} P(I_q) P(D+I_q) \mathrm{d}I_q , \qquad (5)$$

where I_q and $I_p = D + I_q$ are assumed to be completely independent of each other. While D can have any value between $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, the distributions $P(I_q)$ and $P(I_p)$ exist only in the range 0 to ∞ . The lower limit of integration in (5) therefore becomes 0 for D > 0and |D| for D < 0.

It is convenient to work out the results in terms of the following normalized variables

$$w = D/\sigma_p^2; \quad s = \sigma_q^2/\sigma_p^2; \quad M = I_q/\sigma_p^2,$$
(6)

since the final expressions take simple forms in terms of these quantities.

(a) Centrosymmetric case

If (3) and (6) are substituted into (5), the distribution for w takes the form

$$P(w) = (2\pi)^{-1} s^{-1/2} \exp((-w/2) \int_{0 \text{ or } |w|}^{\infty} [M(M+w)]^{-1/2} \\ \times \exp[-M(1+s)/2s] dM \quad (7)$$

or

$$P(w) = (2\pi)^{-1} s^{-1/2} \exp(-w/2) \times \exp(-|w|(1+s)/2s) \cdot L(w) \quad (8a) w < 0$$

$$P(w) = (2\pi)^{-1} s^{-1/2} \exp(-w/2) \cdot L(w)$$
(8b)
w > 0.

where

$$L(w) = \int_0^\infty [M(M+|w|)]^{-1/2} \exp\left[-M(1+s)/2s\right] \mathrm{d}M.$$
(9)



Fig. 1. Probability distribution P(w) for the centrosymmetric case corresponding to s=0.0, 0.2, 0.6, 1.0.



Fig. 2. Probability distribution P(w) for the non-centrosymmetric case corresponding to s=0.0, 0.2, 0.6, 1.0.

With the result (Erdelyi, 1954, p. 138)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (t^{2} + 2at)^{\gamma - 1/2} \exp(-pt) dt$$

= $\pi^{-1/2} \Gamma(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}) \cdot (2a/p)^{\gamma} \exp(ap) \cdot K_{\gamma}(ap)$
|Arg a| < π ; Re γ > $-\frac{1}{2}$; Re p > 0,

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function, and $K_{\gamma}(x)$ the Bessel function of order γ , (9) reduces to

$$L(w) = \exp[|w|(1+s)/4s] \cdot K_0[|w|(1+s)/4s]$$
.

The distribution for w then takes the form:

$$P(w) = (2\pi)^{-1} s^{-1/2} \exp(-w/2) \exp[-|w|(1+s)/4s] \times K_0[|w|(1+s)/4s] \quad (10a)$$

w < 0

$$P(w) = (2\pi)^{-1}s^{-1/2} \exp(-w/2) \exp[+|w|(1+s)/4s] \times K_0[|w|(1+s)/4s] \quad (10b)$$

w > 0.

The nature of the function P(w) in the centrosymmetric case is shown in Fig. 1 for different values of s.

(b) Non-centrosymmetric case

If (4) and (6) are substituted into (5), we get

$$P(w) = s^{-1} \exp((-w) \int_{0 \text{ or } |w|}^{\infty} \exp[-M(1+s)/s] dM.$$
(11)

Integration leads to the simple result

$$P(w) = (1+s)^{-1} \exp(-|w|/s) \quad w < 0$$
 (12a)

$$P(w) = (1+s)^{-1} \exp(-|w|) \quad w > 0.$$
 (12b)

The distribution function P(w) for this non-centrosymmetric case is given for different values of s in Fig. 2.

(c) Properties of P(w)

Figs. 1 and 2 show the probability distribution P(w)for the difference in intensities of two unrelated structures for different values of s. In the limit $s \rightarrow 0$ we get as expected the original normalized intensity distributions, as shown easily by an expansion. As s increases, the function P(w) develops more and more on the negative side and for s=1 becomes completely symmetric about w=0. This general behaviour is similar for both centrosymmetric and non-centrosymmetric structures. A comparison of Figs. 1 and 2 shows that, for a particular value of s, the curve is sharper for the centrosymmetric than for the non-centrosymmetric case. A comparison with the corresponding probability distributions for the difference in structure amplitudes (Ramachandran, Srinivasan & Raghupathy Sarma, 1963) shows an increased sensitivity with respect to a centre of symmetry.

3. Largest likely values for the residual R_I

The residual R_I defined in (2) can easily be worked out in terms of the available distribution P(w). From its definition, it is readily seen that

$$R_{I} = \langle |D| \rangle / \langle I_{p} \rangle = \langle |w| \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |w| P(w) \mathrm{d}w .$$
(13)

Using (10a), (10b) and (13), we have for the centro-symmetric case

$$R_{I} = \pi^{-1} s^{-1/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} |w| \cosh \left[|w| (1-s)/4s \right] \\ \times K_{0}[|w| (1+s)/4s] dw . \quad (14)$$

In general this integral can only be evaluated numerically. However it reduces considerably in the limit $s \rightarrow 1$ to

$$R_I = \pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty |w| K_0(|w|/2) \mathrm{d}w \;. \tag{15}$$

With the result (Erdelyi, 1954, p. 331)

$$\int_0^\infty K_{\gamma}(ax) x^{s-1} \mathrm{d}x = a^{-s} 2^{s-2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}s - \frac{1}{2}\gamma) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}s + \frac{1}{2}\gamma)$$

Re $s > |\operatorname{Re} \gamma|$, Re $\alpha > 0$,

the residual becomes

$$R_I = \pi^{-1} (\frac{1}{2})^{-2} \Gamma^2(1) = 4/\pi \simeq 1.273 . \tag{16}$$

Using (12a), (12b) and (13), we get for the non-centrosymmetric case the following simple result

$$R_{I} = (1+s^{2})/(1+s) . \tag{17}$$

The residual R_I as a function of s is shown in Fig. 3 for both the centrosymmetric (C) and non-centrosymmetric (A) cases.

The values of R_I for the centrosymmetric and noncentrosymmetric cases for s=1 ($4/\pi \simeq 1.273$ and 1 respectively) can directly be compared with the corresponding values of the residual R for structure amplitudes defined in (1) (0.828 and 0.586 respectively), first deduced by Wilson (1950), for a proposed structure which is completely wrong.



Fig. 3. Residual R_I as a function of s. C and A denote centrosymmetric and acentric (non-centrosymmetric) cases respectively.

Thus R_I for an entirely wrong centrosymmetric structure is $4/\pi$ times as big as for a wrong non-centrosymmetric structure, which has to be compared with a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ in case of the residual R. The residual R_I for intensities is therefore less sensitive with respect to a centre of symmetry than the residual R in the case of the structure amplitudes.

References

ERDELYI, A. L. (1954). *Tables of Integral Transforms*. Vol. I. New York: McGraw Hill.

- Howells, E. R., Phillips, D. C. & Rogers, D. (1950). Acta Cryst. 3, 210-214.
- RAMACHANDRAN, G. N., SRINIVASAN, R. & RAGHUPATHY SARMA, V. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 662–666.
- RIETVELD, H. M. (1968). J. Appl. Cryst. 2, 65-71.
- THÖNI, W. (1973). Doctoral Thesis, ETH Zürich, Switzerland.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1950). Acta Cryst. 3, 397-398.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 1288-1293.